

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA  
SOUTHERN DIVISION**

**NANCY MARTIN and,  
MARY BETH BRACKIN,**

**Plaintiffs,**

**V.**

**CITY OF DOTHAN and JUDGE  
ROSE EVANS-GORDON,**

## Defendants.

1  
 2  
 3  
 4  
 5  
 6  
 7  
 8  
 9  
 10  
 11  
 12  
 13  
 14  
 15  
 16  
 17  
 18  
 19  
 20  
 21  
 22  
 23  
 24  
 25  
 26  
 27  
 28  
 29  
 30  
 31  
 32  
 33  
 34  
 35  
 36  
 37  
 38  
 39  
 40  
 41  
 42  
 43  
 44  
 45  
 46  
 47  
 48  
 49  
 50  
 51  
 52  
 53  
 54  
 55  
 56  
 57  
 58  
 59  
 60  
 61  
 62  
 63  
 64  
 65  
 66  
 67  
 68  
 69  
 70  
 71  
 72  
 73  
 74  
 75  
 76  
 77  
 78  
 79  
 80  
 81  
 82  
 83  
 84  
 85  
 86  
 87  
 88  
 89  
 90  
 91  
 92  
 93  
 94  
 95  
 96  
 97  
 98  
 99  
 100  
 101  
 102  
 103  
 104  
 105  
 106  
 107  
 108  
 109  
 110  
 111  
 112  
 113  
 114  
 115  
 116  
 117  
 118  
 119  
 120  
 121  
 122  
 123  
 124  
 125  
 126  
 127  
 128  
 129  
 130  
 131  
 132  
 133  
 134  
 135  
 136  
 137  
 138  
 139  
 140  
 141  
 142  
 143  
 144  
 145  
 146  
 147  
 148  
 149  
 150  
 151  
 152  
 153  
 154  
 155  
 156  
 157  
 158  
 159  
 160  
 161  
 162  
 163  
 164  
 165  
 166  
 167  
 168  
 169  
 170  
 171  
 172  
 173  
 174  
 175  
 176  
 177  
 178  
 179  
 180  
 181  
 182  
 183  
 184  
 185  
 186  
 187  
 188  
 189  
 190  
 191  
 192  
 193  
 194  
 195  
 196  
 197  
 198  
 199  
 200  
 201  
 202  
 203  
 204  
 205  
 206  
 207  
 208  
 209  
 210  
 211  
 212  
 213  
 214  
 215  
 216  
 217  
 218  
 219  
 220  
 221  
 222  
 223  
 224  
 225  
 226  
 227  
 228  
 229  
 230  
 231  
 232  
 233  
 234  
 235  
 236  
 237  
 238  
 239  
 240  
 241  
 242  
 243  
 244  
 245  
 246  
 247  
 248  
 249  
 250  
 251  
 252  
 253  
 254  
 255  
 256  
 257  
 258  
 259  
 260  
 261  
 262  
 263  
 264  
 265  
 266  
 267  
 268  
 269  
 270  
 271  
 272  
 273  
 274  
 275  
 276  
 277  
 278  
 279  
 280  
 281  
 282  
 283  
 284  
 285  
 286  
 287  
 288  
 289  
 290  
 291  
 292  
 293  
 294  
 295  
 296  
 297  
 298  
 299  
 300  
 301  
 302  
 303  
 304  
 305  
 306  
 307  
 308  
 309  
 310  
 311  
 312  
 313  
 314  
 315  
 316  
 317  
 318  
 319  
 320  
 321  
 322  
 323  
 324  
 325  
 326  
 327  
 328  
 329  
 330  
 331  
 332  
 333  
 334  
 335  
 336  
 337  
 338  
 339  
 340  
 341  
 342  
 343  
 344  
 345  
 346  
 347  
 348  
 349  
 350  
 351  
 352  
 353  
 354  
 355  
 356  
 357  
 358  
 359  
 360  
 361  
 362  
 363  
 364  
 365  
 366  
 367  
 368  
 369  
 370  
 371  
 372  
 373  
 374  
 375  
 376  
 377  
 378  
 379  
 380  
 381  
 382  
 383  
 384  
 385  
 386  
 387  
 388  
 389  
 390  
 391  
 392  
 393  
 394  
 395  
 396  
 397  
 398  
 399  
 400  
 401  
 402  
 403  
 404  
 405  
 406  
 407  
 408  
 409  
 410  
 411  
 412  
 413  
 414  
 415  
 416  
 417  
 418  
 419  
 420  
 421  
 422  
 423  
 424  
 425  
 426  
 427  
 428  
 429  
 430  
 431  
 432  
 433  
 434  
 435  
 436  
 437  
 438  
 439  
 440  
 441  
 442  
 443  
 444  
 445  
 446  
 447  
 448  
 449  
 450  
 451  
 452  
 453  
 454  
 455  
 456  
 457  
 458  
 459  
 460  
 461  
 462  
 463  
 464  
 465  
 466  
 467  
 468  
 469  
 470  
 471  
 472  
 473  
 474  
 475  
 476  
 477  
 478  
 479  
 480  
 481  
 482  
 483  
 484  
 485  
 486  
 487  
 488  
 489  
 490  
 491  
 492  
 493  
 494  
 495  
 496  
 497  
 498  
 499  
 500  
 501  
 502  
 503  
 504  
 505  
 506  
 507  
 508  
 509  
 510  
 511  
 512  
 513  
 514  
 515  
 516  
 517  
 518  
 519  
 520  
 521  
 522  
 523  
 524  
 525

**Case No. 1:05-CV-1172-MEF**

**DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO PLACE EVIDENTIARY SUBMISSIONS  
IN SUPPORT OF SUMMARY JUDGMENT UNDER SEAL OF COURT**

Pursuant to the Protective Order entered herein on March 29, 2007, Defendants respectfully request the Court to place certain evidentiary submissions filed in support of their Motion for Summary Judgment under seal of Court, based on the following:

1. Pursuant to the Protective Order, attached hereto as Exhibit A, the following documents shall be designated as confidential:

- (a) Plaintiffs' federal and state income tax returns;
- (b) Plaintiffs' medical records;
- (c) any document from the personnel, employment, medical or payroll files of any Defendant or any employee or former employee of Defendant City, or any document containing private or personally sensitive information pertaining to individual Defendants or individual employees of Defendant City;
- (d) any documents which reflect the City's proprietary information, audits, and/or investigations not ordinarily disseminated to Defendant City employees

or persons not employed by the City; and

(e) any Internal Affairs investigations conducted by the City.

2. Throughout the discovery process, Defendants have designated documents and testimony as confidential pursuant to the Protective Order.

3. Plaintiffs' counsel has not objected to such designation.

4. Pursuant to Paragraph 7 of the Protective Order, such documents designated as confidential shall be kept under seal by the Clerk until further order of the Court. Moreover, any use of such document or confidential information and any testimony associated with it shall be held under seal unless the Court orders otherwise.

5. Based on the foregoing, Defendants respectfully request the Court to place all of their evidentiary submissions under seal. In the alternative, Defendants request that the following be placed under Seal of Court:

- A. Affidavit of Rose Evans Gordon and Exhibits A – E, which are five Internal Affairs investigations regarding the Judicial Department;
- B. Affidavit of Kevan Kelly and Exhibits A – C which request an investigation by the Legal Department and the Dothan Police Department into a claim against the City;
- C. Lieutenant Ivan Keith Gray's deposition transcript and Plaintiffs' Exhibit 14 thereto. Lt. Gray was a police officer in Internal Affairs who conducted investigations regarding the Judicial Department. Plaintiffs' Exhibit 14 is part of a transcript from an Internal Affairs investigation;
- D. Defendants' Exhibit 6 to Nancy Martin's deposition which contains her tax return and W-2s;

- E. Defendants' Exhibits 11 – 14 to Nancy Martin's deposition which contain information on Municipal Court Defendants not normally disseminated to the public or to City employees;
- F. Defendants' Exhibit 4 to Nancy Martin's deposition which contains outrageous and spurious allegations against Defendants which are totally unsupported by any evidence. Plaintiffs' own attorney admits that Plaintiff Martin has absolutely no proof of such allegations, and such allegations in Exhibit 4 were included at the recommendation of Martin's prior counsel who has since been suspended from the practice of law. (Martin Depo. pp. 262 – 266, 297 – 299);
- G. Defendants' Exhibits 27, 28 and 29 to Plaintiff Brackin's deposition which are tax returns or W-2s for Plaintiff Brackin;
- H. Defendants' Exhibit 16 to Brackin's deposition which involves an Internal Affairs investigation; and
- I. All of Judge Gordon's deposition transcript and Exhibits thereto, including but not limited to:
  - (a) Plaintiffs' Exhibit 2 which contains a Legal Department and Police Department investigation into a claim against the City by a citizen;
  - (b) Plaintiffs' Exhibit 6 which is a letter from a bail bondsman which contains information about Dothan City employees and Municipal Court Defendants; and
  - (c) Plaintiffs' Exhibit 8 which is an Internal Affairs transcript involving the Judicial Department.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Carol Sue Nelson

Carol Sue Nelson  
Attorney for Defendants

OF COUNSEL:

MAYNARD, COOPER & GALE, P.C.  
1901 Sixth Avenue North  
2400 Regions/Harbert Plaza  
Birmingham, AL 35203-2618  
(205) 254-1000  
(205) 254-1999 (Fax)

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on this 20<sup>th</sup> day of November, 2007 I electronically filed the foregoing pleading with the Clerk of Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing to the following:

Ishmael Jaffree, Esq.  
951 Government Street  
Mobile, AL 36604-244

F. Lenton White, II, Esq.  
City of Dothan  
City Attorney's Office  
P.O. Box 2128  
Dothan, Alabama 36303

Joe E. Herring, Jr., Esq.  
City of Dothan  
City Attorney's Office  
P.O. Box 2128  
Dothan, Alabama 36303

/s/ Carol Sue Nelson

OF COUNSEL

# EXHIBIT A

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES  
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA  
SOUTHERN DIVISION

NANCY MARTIN, *et al.*,

Plaintiffs,

**v.**

CITY OF DOTHAN, *et al.*,

**Defendants.**

CASE NO. 1:05-CV-1172-MEF-TFM

Upon consideration of the *Motion for Consent Protective Order* (Doc. 54, filed March 28, 2007) it is

**ORDERED** that the *Motion for Consent Protective Order* is **GRANTED**.

Accordingly, the parties and all interested non-parties shall be governed as follows:

1. This Order shall govern all documents produced by Plaintiffs and Defendants or obtained by Plaintiffs or Defendants pursuant to a subpoena in this action. Furthermore, this Order shall govern all information derived from such documents and copies, excerpts or summaries thereof.
2. The following documents shall be designated as confidential:
  - (a) Plaintiffs' federal and state income tax returns;
  - (b) Plaintiffs' medical records;
  - (c) any document from the personnel, employment, medical or payroll file of any Defendant or any employee or former employee of Defendant

City, or any document containing private or personally sensitive information pertaining to individual Defendants, individual employees or former employees of Defendant City;

- (d) any document which reflects Defendant City's proprietary information, audits, and/or investigations not ordinarily disseminated to Defendant City's employees or persons not employed by Defendant City, or which an employee of Defendant would reasonably consider to be confidential;
- (e) Any Internal Affairs investigation conducted by the City of Dothan; and
- (f) Defendant City's submissions to the EEOC.

3. Documents and information designated confidential in accordance with this Order shall be used solely for the purpose of this action or appeal, and unless the Court rules otherwise, such documents or information shall not be disclosed to any person other than

- (a) the parties to this action;
- (b) counsel of record to any party to this Order;
- (c) the clerical, paralegal staff, or other support staff of such counsel to this action employed during the preparation for and trial of this action;
- (d) persons retained by any party to this Order to furnish expert services or advice or to give expert testimony in this action (and their employees);
- (e) deponents and court reporters in this action;

- (f) the Court and Court personnel;
- (g) potential witnesses or consultants, and
- (h) outside photocopying services.

Confidential documents or information disclosed to any such person shall not be disclosed by him or her to any other person not within the foregoing subparagraphs (a) through (h) of this paragraph. No such documents or information designated as confidential pursuant to this Order shall be used by any such person for any purpose other than for the preparation, trial, appeal and/or settlement of this action.

4. Prior to making such disclosure of any confidential documents or information pursuant to paragraph 3, counsel for the parties in this action shall inform any person to whom disclosure is being made that the information or documents, or any portions thereof, may only be used for the purpose set forth in this Protective Order. Additionally, each person to whom disclosure of confidential documents and information is permitted shall be shown a copy of this Order and shall be specifically advised by counsel that this Order applies to, and is binding upon such individual.
5. If a question arising at a pretrial deposition calls for an answer containing information designated as confidential, or if the question contains information designated as Confidential, or if the question contains information designated as confidential, either at the deposition itself or within 30 days after receipt of the transcript thereof, counsel with an interest in protecting such information



shall notify all counsel of record that the information provided in such answer or question is considered designated confidential and shall designate such specific portions of the transcript of such deposition which shall thereafter be subject to the provisions of this Order.

6. If a party objects to the designation of any documents or information designated as confidential, counsel for the objecting party shall notify all counsel of record of the objection. If disputes regarding the objection cannot be resolved by agreement, counsel may move this Court for an Order denying confidential treatment to the documents or information in question. If such a motion is filed, the document or information shall be kept confidential pending ruling on the motion. The party asserting confidentiality has the burden to prove that the document deserves such treatment. If the Court determines that a party designating a produced document as confidential made the designation without a good faith basis, the Court in its discretion may order sanctions against the party making the designation.
7. This Order does not affect the parties' ability to use any produced document or information at trial. In the event that any document or information designated as confidential is included with or in any way disclosed by any pleading, motion or other paper filed with the Court, such document or information shall be kept under seal by the Clerk until further order of the Court. Any use of any such document or confidential information and any testimony associated with it shall be held under seal unless the Court orders

otherwise.

8. Within 30 days after final termination of this action, including any appeals, and upon written request of the producing party, all documents or information or extracts thereof and non-privileged notes made therefrom, shall be returned to counsel for the party who produced the documents.
9. Nothing in this Order shall prevent a party from any use of its, his or her own confidential documents.
10. The inadvertent or unintentional disclosure of any confidential information, shall not be construed to be a waiver, in whole or in part, of Plaintiffs' or any Defendant's claims of confidentiality, either as to the specific confidential information disclosed or as to other related information.
11. The terms of this Order are subject to modification, extension or limitation as may be hereinafter agreed to by all parties, or by order of the Court.

DONE this 29th day of March, 2007.

/s/Terry F. Moorers  
TERRY F. MOORER  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE